

A SET-THEORETIC APPROACH TO COMPLETE MINIMAL SYSTEMS IN BANACH SPACES OF BOUNDED FUNCTIONS*

LORENZ HALBEISEN

*Department of Pure Mathematics
Queen's University Belfast
Belfast BT7 1NN
Northern Ireland
email: halbeis@qub.ac.uk*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B15 03E05 46B26

Keywords: independent families, complete minimal systems

ABSTRACT

Using independent families from combinatorial set theory, it is shown that for every infinite cardinal κ , $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ contains a subspace which is isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension 2^κ . This provides a new proof for the first step in the construction of complete minimal systems in Banach spaces of bounded functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach space and let $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ be an arbitrary set of vectors of X . Let $[x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda]$ denote the **closure of the linear span** of $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. A set $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ is called a **complete system** if $[x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda] = X$, and it is called a **minimal system** if for every $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, $x_{\lambda'} \notin [x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda'\}]$. A **complete minimal system**, abbreviated *c.m.s.*, is a complete system which is also minimal.

Using functionals, we can characterize minimal systems (and consequently *c.m.s.*) also in the following way: Let X be a Banach space. A pair of sequences $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ and $\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X^*$ is called a **biorthogonal system** if $f_{\lambda'}(x_\lambda) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda}'$. Now, a sequence $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ is minimal if and only if there is a sequence $\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X^*$, such that the pair $(\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$ is a biorthogonal

*The research for this paper was resumed during the *Workshop on Set Theory, Topology, and Banach Space Theory*. The workshop took place in June 2003 at *Queen's University Belfast* and was supported by the author's *Nuffield Foundation Grant* NAL/00513/G.

system. A biorthogonal system which corresponds to a complete minimal system is called **complete biorthogonal system**.

Even though not every Banach space has a *c.m.s.* (see e.g., [P180] or [GK80]), it is known that ℓ_∞ has a *c.m.s.*. The first (not completely correct) proof for the existence of a *c.m.s.* in ℓ_∞ was given by William Davis and William Johnson in [DJ73]. Later, Borys Godun gave a correct (and slightly easier) proof in [Go83]. However, the crucial point in both proofs is the following result due to Haskel Rosenthal (cf. [Ro69, Proposition 3.4]):

PROPOSITION 1. The space ℓ_∞^* contains a subspace isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension the continuum.

Let us briefly sketch why Proposition 1 implies the existence of a *c.m.s.* in ℓ_∞ : Let $Y \subseteq \ell_\infty^*$ be isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension the continuum. Since Y is reflexive, Y is weakly* closed (cf. e.g., [Ro69, Proposition 1.2]), and therefore, $(\perp Y)^\perp = Y$, where $\perp Y = \{x \in \ell_\infty : \forall y \in Y (y(x) = 0)\}$ and $(\perp Y)^\perp := \{x^* \in \ell_\infty^* : \forall x \in \perp Y (x^*(x) = 0)\}$. Thus, $(\ell_\infty / \perp Y)^*$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert space Y , which implies that also $\ell_\infty / \perp Y$ is isomorphic to Y . Now, following [Go83], with the orthonormal basis in Y we can easily construct a *c.m.s.* in ℓ_∞ . At this point we like to mention that starting with generalized version of Proposition 1 (cf. [Ro69, p. 203, Remark 2]), a similar construction yields a *c.m.s.* in $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ for any infinite cardinal κ .

Rosenthal's proof of Proposition 1 involves some deep results from functional analysis. On the other hand, from a set-theoretical point of view a *c.m.s.* in ℓ_∞ is just a set of bounded real-valued sequences, and therefore, it was natural to seek a more combinatorial or set-theoretical proof of Proposition 1 and the aim of this paper is to provide such a proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I like to thank Anatolij Plichko and Stephanie Halbeisen for pointing me out and explaining me the various steps in the construction of a *c.m.s.* in ℓ_∞ .

2. SOME SET THEORY

2.1. Set-theoretic terminology. Our set-theoretical axioms are the axioms of Zermelo and Fraenkel including the Axiom of Choice. All our set-theoretical notations and definitions are standard and can be found in textbooks like [Ku83].

For a set x , the **cardinality** of x , denoted by $|x|$, is the least ordinal number α for which there exists a bijection $f : \alpha \rightarrow x$; such an ordinal number α is called a **cardinal number** (or just a **cardinal**). The least infinite ordinal number, which is also a cardinal, is denoted by ω , thus, $|\omega| = \omega$. In particular, $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the set of natural numbers. A set x is called finite, if $|x| \in \omega$, otherwise it is called infinite. Further it is called countable, if $|x| \leq \omega$. For a set x , $\mathcal{P}(x)$ denotes the power

set of x and $[x]^{<\omega}$ denotes the set of all finite subsets of x . For a cardinal κ , $|\mathcal{P}(\kappa)|$ is denoted by 2^κ . For example there exists a bijection between the reals \mathbb{R} and $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, hence $|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathcal{P}(\omega)| = 2^\omega$. For every infinite cardinal we have $2^\kappa > \kappa$ and $|\kappa|^{<\omega} = \kappa$.

2.2. Independent families. Let κ be an infinite cardinal and let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, then \mathcal{I} is called an **independent family** (on κ), if whenever m and $n - 1$ belong to ω , and $x_0, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+n}$ are distinct members of \mathcal{I} , then

$$\left| \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq m} x_i \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_{m+j} \right| = \kappa.$$

To make this paper self-contained, let us prove the following result due to Felix Hausdorff (cf. [Ha36]):

PROPOSITION 2. For any infinite cardinal κ , there is an independent family on κ of cardinality 2^κ .

Proof. We just follow Exercise (A6) on p. 288 of [Ku83]. Let

$$J = \{ \langle s, A \rangle : s \subseteq \kappa \text{ and } |s| < \omega \text{ and } A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(s) \}.$$

Notice that $|J| = \kappa$, so, it is enough to construct an independent family of cardinality 2^κ on J . For $x' \subseteq \kappa$, let $x := \{ \langle s, A \rangle \in J : x' \cap s \in A \}$. Then $\mathcal{I} = \{ x : x' \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \}$ is an independent family on J of cardinality 2^κ . Indeed, let $x'_0, \dots, x'_m, \dots, x'_{m+n}$ be distinct members of $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ (for some m and $n - 1$ in ω). Then there is a finite set $s \subseteq \kappa$ such that for all i, j with $0 \leq i < j \leq m + n$ we have $x'_i \cap s \neq x'_j \cap s$. Let $A = \{ s \cap x'_i : 0 \leq i \leq m \} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(s)$, and for every $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus s$, let $s_\alpha = s \cup \{ \alpha \}$ and $A_\alpha = A \cup \{ t \cup \{ \alpha \} : t \in A \}$. Then

$$\{ \langle s_\alpha, A_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \kappa \setminus s \} \subseteq \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq m} x_i \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_{m+j},$$

which implies that $\left| \bigcap_{i \leq m} x_i \setminus \bigcup_{m < j \leq n} x_j \right| = \kappa$, and therefore, \mathcal{I} is an independent family on J of cardinality 2^κ . \dashv

As an easy consequence we get the following

FACT. If $\mathcal{I} = \{ x_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\kappa \}$ is an independent family on κ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are finitely many distinct elements of 2^κ , then $\left| \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_{\alpha_i} \right| = \kappa$, where for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the set y_{α_i} is either equal to the set x_{α_i} , or to its complement $\kappa \setminus x_{\alpha_i}$.

2.3. The Banach spaces $\ell_2(\kappa)$ and $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. The Banach space $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ is the set of all bounded functions from κ to \mathbb{R} , where for $x \in \ell_\infty(\kappa)$, $\|x\| = \sup\{x(\alpha) : \alpha \in \kappa\}$. The Banach space $\ell_2(\kappa)$ is the set of all functions x from κ to \mathbb{R} such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \kappa} x(\alpha)^2 =: \|x\|^2 < \infty$. It is common to write ℓ_2 and ℓ_∞ instead of $\ell_2(\omega)$ and $\ell_\infty(\omega)$ respectively. Like for ℓ_2 and ℓ_∞ , one can show that $\ell_2(\kappa)^* = \ell_2(\kappa)$ and that $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ is isometric to the space of all finitely additive signed

measures μ of bounded variation on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, supplied with the norm $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(\kappa)$, where $|\mu|$ is the total variation of μ .

For $\alpha, \beta \in \kappa$, let

$$\delta_\alpha^\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $e_\alpha : \kappa \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be such that $e_\alpha(\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta$. It is easy to see that the set of vectors $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ is a *c.m.s.* of $\ell_2(\kappa)$. On the other hand, the set $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ is much too small to be a *c.m.s.* of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$. In general, the cardinality of a complete minimal system S of an infinite dimensional real Banach space X is always equal to the density character of X . Indeed, on the one hand, the set of all finite linear combinations of S with rational coefficients is dense in X , and on the other hand, S is discrete in X . In particular, the density character of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ is 2^κ , so, any *c.m.s.* of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ must have cardinality 2^κ .

3. $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ CONTAINS AN ISOMORPHIC COPY OF $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

THEOREM. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then any independent family on κ of cardinality 2^κ induces a subspace of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ which is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\kappa\}$ be an independent family on κ of cardinality 2^κ (which exists by Proposition 2). Define a measure $\hat{\mu}$ on the set B of all Boolean combinations of elements of \mathcal{I} by stipulating

- $\hat{\mu}(x_\alpha) = \hat{\mu}(\kappa \setminus x_\alpha) = 1/2$ (for all $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$),
- $\hat{\mu}(x_\alpha \cap x_\beta) = \hat{\mu}(x_\alpha \cap (\kappa \setminus x_\beta)) = 1/4$ (for all distinct $x_\alpha, x_\beta \in \mathcal{I}$),

and in general, if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are finitely many distinct elements of 2^κ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, then

$$\hat{\mu}\left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j} x_{\alpha_i} \cap \bigcap_{j < i \leq n} (\kappa \setminus x_{\alpha_i})\right) = 2^{-n}.$$

The measure $\hat{\mu}$ induces a normalized linear functional $\varphi_{\hat{\mu}}$ on a subspace of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$. Thus, by the normed space version of the Hahn-Banach Extension Theorem, there is a normalized functional on all of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ which extends the functional $\varphi_{\hat{\mu}}$. In particular, there is a measure μ on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ with $\|\mu\| = 1$, such that $\mu|_B \equiv \hat{\mu}$. For every $\alpha \in 2^\kappa$ let $f_\alpha : \kappa \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ such that

$$f_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in x_\alpha, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, for every $\alpha \in 2^\kappa$, let the measure μ_α on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be defined by

$$\mu_\alpha(E) = \mu(E \cap x_\alpha) - \mu(E \cap (\kappa \setminus x_\alpha)),$$

and let φ_α be the linear functional on $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ induced by the measure μ_α . It is not hard to see that for all $\alpha, \beta \in 2^\kappa$, $\varphi_\alpha(f_\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta$ and that $\|\varphi_\alpha\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} = 1$. Let $Y = [\varphi_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\kappa] \subseteq \ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$, and let $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \in Y$.

CLAIM. For each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \right\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \right|.$$

Proof. For each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ let $E_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_{\alpha_i}$, where

$$y_{\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} x_{\alpha_i} & \text{if } \varepsilon_i a_i \geq 0, \\ \kappa \setminus x_{\alpha_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the fact mentioned above, $|E_\varepsilon| = \kappa$, and by the properties of the measure μ we get $\mu(E_\varepsilon) = 2^{-n}$. Notice that for any distinct ε and ε' in $\{-1, 1\}^n$ we have $E_\varepsilon \cap E_{\varepsilon'} = \emptyset$ and that $\kappa = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n} E_\varepsilon$. Further, for every $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ let $f_\varepsilon : \kappa \rightarrow \{\pm 1, 0\}$ be such that

$$f_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in E_\varepsilon \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \geq 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \lambda \in E_\varepsilon \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i < 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $f = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n} f_\varepsilon$. It is not hard to verify that for each $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ we have

$$(a_1 \varphi_{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_n \varphi_{\alpha_n})(f_\varepsilon) = 2^{-n} |\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n a_n|,$$

and therefore,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \right)(f) = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \right|.$$

Now, since the E_ε 's are pairwise disjoint, $\|f\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)} = 1$, and by the construction of f we finally get

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \right\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \right|.$$

◄Claim

Hence, by Khintchine's inequality, there is a constant $c = 1/\sqrt{2}$ such that

$$c \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \right\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2},$$

which implies that the space $Y \subseteq \ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$ and completes the proof. ◄

REMARK. For an infinite cardinal κ , the Banach space $c_0(\kappa)$ is the set of all functions x from κ to \mathbb{R} such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{\alpha < \kappa : |x(\alpha)| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite. Now, the Theorem admits the following generalization: *Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then the space $(\ell_\infty(\kappa)/c_0(\kappa))^*$ contains a subspace which is isomorphic to $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$.* Consequently we get: *For every infinite cardinal κ , the space $\ell_\infty(\kappa)/c_0(\kappa)$ has a complete minimal system.*

REFERENCES

- [DJ73] WILLIAM J. DAVIS AND WILLIAM B. JOHNSON: *On the existence of fundamental and total bounded biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces*, ***Studia Mathematica***, vol. 45 (1973), 173–179.
- [Go83] BORYS V. GODUN: *Complete biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces*, (Russian) ***Funktional’nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*** vol. 17 (1) (1983), 1–7; translation in ***Functional Analysis and its Applications*** vol. 17 (1) (1983), 1–5.
- [GK80] BORYS V. GODUN AND MIKHAIL I. KADETS: *Banach spaces without complete minimal systems*, (Russian) ***Funktional’nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*** vol. 14 (4) (1980), 67–68; translation in ***Functional Analysis and its Applications*** vol. 14 (4) (1980), 301–302.
- [Ha36] FELIX HAUSDORFF: *Über zwei Sätze von G. Fichtenholz und L. Kantorovitch*, ***Studia Mathematica***, vol. 6 (1936), 18–19.
- [Ku83] KENNETH KUNEN: ***Set Theory, an Introduction to Independence Proofs***, North Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
- [Pl80] ANATOLIY PLICHKO: *A Banach space without a fundamental biorthogonal system*, (Russian) ***Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*** vol. 254 (4) (1980), 798–801; translation in ***Soviet Mathematical Doklady*** vol. 22 (2) (1980), 450–453.
- [Ro69] HASKEL P. ROSENTHAL: *On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\mu)$* , ***Journal of Functional Analysis***, vol. 4 (1969), 176–214.